C.F. 72.

1929

Department of Revenue

OFFICE Bijapur

STATION Madras

Mis No. 741

Dated 11-3-29

K 673/28

To whom sent	Date	Initial.
	Given for copying	
	Copied KRS PB 4/5/29	
	Examined	
	Signed	
	Given for despatch	
	Despatched	
	Indexed	
Pages in the file	To be destroyed on*	13 MAR 1929

(SUBJECT.)

Escheat – (Kanara s.) – Puttur Tk – Kaniyur and Neruvilya vges – Property of the late Padmakka Puvaru Sanjiva Rao, informant's son – Reward – Sanction – G.C. communicated

Not to be filled in in the case of permanent records.

Back paper.

G.E. 12.

Dis.	No.	Department. Series.	Date.	Dis.	No.	Department. Series.
Mss 2809 23.8.28		Mss. 876 26.2.13				
Mss 2499 8.28						
Mss 0505 18.10.28						
Mss 2135 28.5.13						
164 Mss 21.3.28						

Further Papers.

Dis.	No.	Department. Series.	Date	Dis.	No.	Department. Series.	Date.
Mss 1203 27.4.2							

O/C 1 I.673/29

Copy of letter from C.W. Wells Esq., M.A., I.C.S., Collector of South Kanara, Ref. on A.3.11452/28 dated 18th January 1929.-

ESCHEAT - (SOUTH KANARA DISTRICT) - PUTTUR TALUK - KANIYUR AND MURULLYA VILLAGES - KUNDOLI PROPERTY OF THE LATE PADAMAKKE - INFORMANT'S SON - REWARD - RECOMMENDED.

I submit herewith the copy of petition dated 16-6-28 of Pangal Sanjiva Rao. The petitioner prays for the payment to him of the usual reward for the information given by his deceased father Pangal Narnappaya regarding the liability of the above property to be escheated to Government.

2.It is a fact that the enquiry into this escheat was first atarted on receipt of the petition dated 25-1-1909 of Pangal Narnappaya, the petitioner's father. The property was finally declared an escheat in G.O.No.408 Revenue dated 8-2-1913 communicated with B.P.No.876 Mis. dated 26-2-1913. It was recovere by instituting a suit under the Board's sanction conveyed in B.P.No.2135 Mis. L.R. dated 28-5-1913 and taking out execution. The total cost incurred by Government on this account was Rs.288-12-2. The property which came into the possession of Government on 2-7-1914 and 17-2-1916 was sold by public auction under the orders of the Board conveyed in B.P.Mis.No. 164 dated 21-3-28 and B.P.Mis.No.2499 dated 1-8-28 and it fetched at the sale Rs9,010/-. The sale was confirmed by the Board in B.P.Mis.No.3505 dated 18-10-28.

3. Under para 11 of B.S.O.No.197, a reward of not more than 10% of the value of the property escheated to Government may be given to the person who gave the first information about the escheat. The present claim petitioner Pangal Sanjiva Rao is reported to be the only son of the informant Pangal Narnappaya. He is therefore eligible for the reward. I recommend that the Government may be pleased to sanction a reward

ward of Rs450/- four hundred and fifty at 5% of the purchase money of Rs9,010/- for payment to the petitioner.

****

3

To

The Collector of

South Kanara,

Mangalore.

Humble petition of Pangal Sanjiva Rao, son of Pangal Narnappaya, residing at Puttur, South Kanara. Dated 18th June 1928.

Sir,

Subject:- Kundoli Escheat Property in Puttur Taluk, South Kanara.

--

I understand the above property has been sold by Public auction by theGovernment about a month back.

My father Pangal Narnappaya was the 1st informant to the Government about the escheat of that property. He has expired on 18-6-1924. I am his only son and legal heir.

I beg to request therefore that the maximum reward payable to the 1st informer under the rules may be kindly ordered to be paid to me.

Soliciting the favour of early favourable orders.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd. P. Sanjiva Rao,

PETITIONER.

++++++++++++

C.F. 16—14.18,300—22-8-27.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL. 4

Enclosures.

Despatch No.

When given to fair copying

Fair-copied by

Fair-copy compared by

When despatched

Office.

Register No. K.673/29, dated

From

Escheat - (Subject. Kanara S.) - Puttur Tk - Kanyur and Muvattupuzha Vgs - Kundoli property of the late Padmakka - Information as to son - Reward

To

THE

Ref. K. 673-29-1 & 14-2-29.

Submitted to Govt.

2/. The Board supports the Coll recommendation

12.2.29.

SM 13.2.29

Bo 14.2.29

SM 14.2.29

To the Secy. to Govt Rev. Dept.

(with the Coll's letter and enclosure in original)

1

E.D C.F.

pp. 1-3 togo.

Pl. (carp Secy

pp 1-3 C.F.

SM 13/2/29

K673/29-1
K 2045/29-A

BOARD OF REVENUE
2-MAR 1929
LAND REVENUE

Government of Madras.

Received .....1929. Registered 2-3-1929.

Abstract.

Escheat - (South Kanara) - Puttur taluk - Kaniyur and Murullya villages - Property of the late Padmakke Pangal Sanjiva Rao, informant's son - Reward - Sanctioned. - G.C. Comm.

Revenue Department.

G. O. No. 431 Mis., dated the 1st March 1929.

Read the following papers:-

G.O.No.408 Revenue, dated 8th February 1913.

From the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue and Settlement Ref. No.K.673/29-1, dated 14th February 1929.

O R D E R :-

The Government sanction the payment of a sum of Rs.450 (four hundred and fifty only) to Pangal Sanjiva Rao, son of the late Pangal Naranappaya, as a reward for the information given by his deceased father regarding the liability to escheat of the property left by the late Padmakke in the Kaniyur and Murullya villages of Puttur taluk, South Kanara District.

2. The expenditure is debitable to 22 R.F.a.General Administration - Miscellaneous - Discretionary grants ii. Discretionary grants by Collectors.

(By Order of the Governor in Council)

H.R.Pate
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue and Settlement.
" Finance ~~Accountant-General~~ Department.
" Accountant-General through Finance.

F o r w a r d e d.
(By Order)

Res No. 741 dt 11-3-29

Communicated to the Collr of S. Kanara.

Superintendent.

N.M.

12/3/29

S. Kanara.

6/3/29 6.3.29

8/3/29 11.3.29

To the Collr of S. Kanara.

11.3.29

M1
S
A.S. (LR)

Escheat - (Kanara S.) - Puttur Tk - Kar and Muvullya Vges - Kundoli property of late Padmakka - Informants' son - L. Reward

K673/29 ——— pp 1-2~

To A.S. (LR)

Please see the Coll's letter at pp 1-2 c

B.S.(U.

2. Under B.S. G. 197 (II) a reward not exceeding 10 o/o of the value of the eschea may be given to any private individua who gives the first information in the matter. This is apparently with a view to induce private individuals to give the requir information but for which the claims of Govt would go unasserted. If the informan ~~is dead~~ dies before he gets the reward it is but proper that it is paid to his son or legal heirs. In another case on the recommendation of the Board Govt sanctioned the payment of the reward to the informan son at the rate of 5 o/o of the value of the property escheated. - Vide G.O. No 1900 Rev pp 11-12 of (K) d/16-8-1928:

It may be noted in this connection that though Govt called for information about the amount of Cost incurred in asserting the claims of Govt in the case at (K) no proportionate deduction was made in the

amount of the reward sanctioned. In the
esent Case the Coll^r recommends 5%.
ard though ~~the post~~ Sanjiva Rao, the Pet^r p3 C.F.
ms the maximum viz 10%. The Coll^r's
roposal which is in ~~accordancy~~ accordance with the rate
nctioned in the G.O. at (k) may be recom
Govt^t for orders 4.2.29.

1.29.

2.2.29

A.S. (LR)

To A.S. (LR)

Draft subd^d

13/2/29. 14.2.29.

14.2.29

A.S. (LR) I Sett.
Thro' proper channel

Board's Ref. K. 673-29-1 dt 14.2.29. p 4 C.F.

K. 2045/29. p 5 C.F.

Draft subd^d at p 5 C.F.

8/3/29 11.3.29.

6.3.29.

6.3.29

6/3/29

8/3